DEVELOPMENTAL COUNSELING FORM

For use of this form, see FM 22-100; the proponent agency is TRADOC

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

'UTHORITY:

5 USC 301, Departmental Regulations; 10 USC 3013, Secretary of the Army and E.O. 9307 (SSN)

ANCIPAL PURPOSE:

To esset leaders in conducting and recording counteling data perteining to subordinates

ROUTINE USES:

For subordinate leader development IAW FM 22-100. Leaders should use this form as nece

DISCLOSURE:

Disclusure as voluntary.

PART	- ADMINISTRATIVE DATA	
		,

Numa (Last. First. MI)

Rank/Grade MSG/E-8 Social Security No

Date of Counseling

07 JUNE 2010

HHC, 2BCT, 10MTN DIV (LI), COS HAMMER, IRAQ 09308

ADKINS, PAUL D.

me and Title of Counselo

STEVEN J. LIM, CPT. BRIGADE \$-2

PART # - BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Purpose of Counselling: (Leader states the reason for the counselling, e.g., performance/professional growth or event-oriented counselling, and includes the leader's facts and observations prior to the counseling.)

Event oriented counseling for 2BCT Brigade S-2 NCOIC: PFC Manning's email on 24 April 2010

PART III - SUMMARY OF COUNSELING

Complete this section during or immediately subsequent to counseling.

Key Points of Discussion:

MSG Adkins, you showed me an email from PFC Manning that you received on 24 APRIL 2010. The email you sent me contained a write up from Manning regarding his problem with cross dressing and gender identity. You forwarded the email to me on 03 JUNE 2010. The email also included cture with him in a wig and make-up. After thinking about it overnight, I feet the need to address some concerns regarding this situation. The point of as counseling is to identify the reasons why you did not bring forth PFC Manning's issues to the chain of command. Upon reading the email and looking at the picture, it is obvious that PFC Manning has mental health issues and gender identity crisis. You could have brought the issue to me, even though I was going on EML on 28 APRIL 10. We both should have soughtout guidance from the CDR/ISG, or BCT XO/CSM. PFC Manning possessed a TS/SCI clearance. Upon reciept of the email, he should have immediately been removed from the SCIF and had his clearance DEROO'd, followed up by a recommendation for a command refferal behavioral health appointment, Under no circumstances should we have allowed him to continue to perform his duties as an intelligence analyst. I was not present for his next outburt which occurred a few days later when PFC Manning assaulted SPC Showman inside the SCIF. If we would have removed him upon reciept of the email on 24 APRIL 2010, that incident would not have occurred. I am responsible for everything that does and does not happen in our shop. That is not the type of environment I want to creats and I feel that contributes to a negative command climate. I think it was obvious to our Soldiers that PFC Manning had issues and should not have been working in the SCIF. We commot allow that type of behavoir to be the standard. As intelligence professionals, we should hold our Soldiers to higher standards which our leaders should enforce. On 26 APRIL 2010, you wrote a memorandum for record to the behavior health doctor. This was a good move on your behalf. You addressed PFC Manning's email to the doctor which was the right thing to do. I think you did a very good job describing his personal issues. However, this still doesn't negate the fact that you should have brought PFC Manning's email up to my attention for further action.

Answer the questions below:

Why did you not show me PFC Manning's email prior to 03 JUNE 2010?

- tried to hardle at the lowest level

- based on experience, thought the rapy would shelp
2. Why did you not remove him from the SCIF and DEROG his security clearance after reading his email?

- Should have talked it over together same as above

- hand sign 4 15 20/20
I honestly do not know what I would have done to PFC Manning if I were in your situation. However, I would have sought guidance from the chain of command. If you did not submit the memorandum for record to the behavioral health doctor, your lack of action would have clearly been a case of direlection of duty. In the future, do not hesitate to report to me any issues with our Soldiers. I have already tasked you to conduct a thorough review of our OPSEC procedures with recommendations to prevent spillage issues in future. I also ask you to take a look at our other Soldiers mental health statuses. I'm not saying there is issues, I just want you to take a closer look and make sure we don't have another incident like PFC Manning in the future

OTHER INSTRUCTIONS

This form will be disafrayed upon; messignment

(other than rehabilitative transfers), separation at ETS, or upon retrament. For separation

requirements and notification of loss of benefits/consequences see local directives and AR 635-200.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT RRR for identification PAGE OFFERED: ADMITTED: PAGE of PAGES

DA FORM 4868, JUN 1999

EDITION OF JUN 85 IS OBSOLETE

	coons that the subordinate will do a odify or maintain tha subordinate's				75
- We will conduct follow up couns	who a martely or as needed				
Complete the OPSPC assessment					
•	nial health assessments and brief me on a				
- SAMMERS OR CHIESE POYOGE, 2 INC	and desire deservices and other the uni-	ny cuneza;	•		
				•	
Session Closing: (The leed	ler summenzes thekeypoints of th	e session end checks if th	ne subordinate understands	the plan of action.	The
subordinate agreea/disagraes	and provides remarks if appopria	te.)			
Individual Counseled remarks:	agree disagree with the infor	mation above.			
	•				
Signature of Individual Counseled.	Pol	· O ·		···· <u>08</u>	JUN 10
Leeder Responsibilities: (Leader's responsibilities in implem	nenting the plan of action.)			
	1111				
Signature of Counselor:	Styl			Dulet OS	TUN10
	PARTIV-A	ASSESSMENT OF THE P	LAN OF ACTION		
Assessment: (Did the plan or and provides usefulinformation	faction achieve the desired results			he individual couns	saled
вна ргомава озвини отпака	n for losow-up counsesing.)				
4		. (
•		•			
				•	
		÷			
			•		
nselor:	individu ni		Date of Asse	esment.	
Note: Both the	counselor and the individual	counseled should re	etain a record of the co	unseling.	